

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

Trenton Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

**JOHN T.C. YEH,
JOSEPH YEH,
ANTHONY MOWL,
DONALD TROPP and
VIALE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,**

Defendants.

Criminal No. 09-856 (JAP)

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2010

AT 8:30
WILLIAM T. WALSH
CLERK

ORDER

Because the ends of justice served by an extension of time outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the typical time requirements of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974, it is ORDERED that the timeline set forth in the Order for Discovery and Inspection be extended 90 days.

In support of this Order, the Court makes the following findings:

1. The number of defendants in this and related cases makes it "unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established" by the Speedy Trial Act. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
2. There is a substantial amount of discovery available to the defendants that may "deny counsel for the defendant[s] . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation." *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

3. Some of the defendants in this case are individuals who are both deaf and/or hard of hearing and physically separated from their counsel, which complicates the defendants' preparation for trial.
4. An extension of time will allow the parties to better explore pre-trial resolutions to the charges pending against the defendants, especially since coordinating such discussions is made more time consuming because some of the defendants are deaf and/or hard of hearing.

/s/ Joel A. Pisano

JOEL A. PISANO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE